

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Jerome Azema

Serial No.: **10/618,859**

Filed: **07/14/2003**

For: **COMPUTING PLATFORM CERTIFICATE**

Docket No.: **TI-34919**

Examiner: **Lipman, Jacob**

Art Unit: **2134**

Conf. No.: **6980**

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Election Requirement dated September 21, 2006, Applicants hereby provisionally elect Invention I (Claims 1-11 and 14-21).

Applicants make this election with traverse since Examiner has set forth no determination that a search and examination of all of Claims 1-22 cannot be made without serious burden. Applicants admit that Examiner made the statement that it would be a burden for him to perform a search on ALL the claims, but he gave no reasons why it would be a "serious burden". MPEP 803 specifically states:

If the search and examination of all the claims in an application can be made **without serious burden**, the **examiner must examine them on the merits**, even though they include claims to independent or distinct inventions.

Respectfully submitted,



/Ronald O. Neerings/
Ronald O. Neerings
Reg. No. 34,227
Attorney for Applicants

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
P.O. BOX 655474, M/S 3999
Dallas, Texas 75265
972/917-5299
972/917-4417 Fax